

Joshua Trigsted (13126)  
Trigsted Law Group, P.C.  
5200 SW Meadows Rd, Ste 150  
Lake Oswego, OR 97035  
503-376-6774, ext. # 216  
866-927-5826 facsimile  
jtrigsted@attorneysforconsumers.com  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

SKYLER SHUMWAY,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED RECOVERY GROUP, INC., URG,  
LLC, S R L & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
JEREMIAH DURFEE, JOHN MICHAEL  
DURFEE, LORI L DURFEE, JOHN  
CHRISTOPHER DURFEE, JUSTIN  
DURFEE, BENJAMIN E BROWN, KIM  
ARMISTEAD

Defendants.

**AMENDED COMPLAINT**

**Case No: 2:12-cv-00358-DBP**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendants' violations of the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA").

**II. JURISDICTION**

2. Plaintiff's claim for violations of the FDCPA arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), and therefore involves a "federal question" pursuant to 28 USC § 1331.

### **III. PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff, Skyler Shumway (“Plaintiff”), is a natural person residing in Salt Lake County, Utah.

4. Defendant, United Recovery Group, Inc., (“Defendant United Recovery”) is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts by use of the mails and telephone. Defendant United Recovery regularly attempts to collect debts alleged due another.

5. Defendant, URG, LLC, (“Defendant URG”) is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts by use of the mails and telephone. Defendant URG regularly attempts to collect debts alleged due another.

6. Defendant, SRL & Associates, Inc., (“Defendant SRL”) is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts by use of the mails and telephone. Defendant SRL regularly attempts to collect debts alleged due another.

7. Defendant JEREMIAH DURFEE is an individual who has an ownership interest in and is directly involved with the day-to-day business of one or more of the business entities listed as Defendants in this matter. Mr. Jeremiah Durfee sanctioned or ordered the behavior of the representatives of the corporate Defendants in this matter.

8. Defendant JOHN MICHAEL DURFEE is an individual who has an ownership interest in and is directly involved with the day-to-day business of each of the business entities listed as Defendants in this matter. Mr. John Michael Durfee sanctioned or ordered the behavior of the representatives of the corporate Defendants in this matter.

9. Defendant JOHN “CHRISTOPHER” DURFEE is an individual and son of John Michael and Lori Durfee, who has an ownership interest in and is directly involved with the day-

to-day business of each of the business entities listed as Defendants in this matter. Mr. John “Christopher” Durfee sanctioned or ordered the behavior of the representatives of the corporate Defendants in this matter.

10. Defendant JUSTIN DURFEE is an individual and son of John Michael and Lori Durfee, who has an ownership interest in and is directly involved with the day-to-day business of each of the business entities listed as Defendants in this matter. Mr. John “Christopher” Durfee sanctioned or ordered the behavior of the representatives of the corporate Defendants in this matter.

11. Defendant LORI L DURFEE is an individual who has an ownership interest in and is directly involved with the day-to-day business of each of the business entities listed as Defendants in this matter. Ms. Durfee sanctioned or ordered the behavior of the representatives of the corporate Defendants in this matter.

12. Defendant KIM ARMISTEAD is an individual who has an ownership interest in and is directly involved with the day-to-day business of each of the business entities listed as Defendants in this matter. Ms. Armistead sanctioned or ordered the behavior of the representatives of the corporate Defendants in this matter.

13. Defendant BENJAMIN E. BROWN is an individual who has an ownership interest in and is directly involved with the day-to-day business of each of the business entities listed as Defendants in this matter. Mr. Brown sanctioned or ordered the behavior of the representatives of the corporate Defendants in this matter.

#### **IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

14. Defendants are each a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §

1692a(6).

15. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

16. All activities of Defendants set out herein were undertaken in connection with the collection of a “debt,” as defined by 15 USC § 1692a(5).

17. Within the last year, Defendants took multiple actions in an attempt to collect a debt from Plaintiff. Defendants’ conduct violated the FDCPA in multiple ways, including the following.

18. In connection with collection of a debt, using language the natural consequence of which was to abuse Plaintiff, including Defendant URG, at the direction and control of one or more co-Defendants, stating to Plaintiff in a voicemail that he needs to “scrape up some integrity.” (§ 1692d(2)).

19. As a result of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injuries to Plaintiff’s feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and severe emotional distress.

20. Defendants intended to cause, by means of the actions detailed above, injuries to Plaintiff’s feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and severe emotional distress.

21. Defendants’ actions, detailed above, were undertaken with extraordinary disregard of, or indifference to, known or highly probable risks to purported debtors.

22. To the extent Defendants’ actions, detailed in paragraphs above, were carried out by an employee of any Defendant, that employee was acting within the scope of his or her employment.

**COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT**

23. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

24. The preceding paragraphs state a *prima facie* case for Plaintiff and against Defendants for violations of the FDCPA, §§ 1692d.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendants' conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages pursuant to 15 USC 1692k;
- C. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- D. Costs, disbursements and reasonable attorney's fees for all successful claims, and any unsuccessful claims arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as the successful claims, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

**PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY**

Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2012.

**TRIGSTED LAW GROUP, P.C.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Joshua Trigsted  
Joshua Trigsted  
*Attorney for the Plaintiff*